



**COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA**  
*Office of the Attorney General*

**Jason S. Miyares**  
Attorney General

202 North Ninth Street  
Richmond, Virginia 23219  
(804) 786-2071  
Fax (804) 786-1991  
Virginia Relay Services  
800-828-1120  
7-1-1

January 28, 2022

Honorable Scott S. Harris  
Clerk  
Supreme Court of the United States  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: David and Amy Carson, as parents and next friends of O.C., et al. v. A. Pender Makin, in her official capacity as Commissioner of the Maine Department of Education, No. 20-1088.

Dear Mr. Harris:

This case involves a challenge to the “sectarian” exclusion in Maine’s tuition-assistance program. That program pays for children in rural areas that are not served by public schools to attend a private school of their choice so long as that school is “a nonsectarian school in accordance with the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.” *See* Me. Stat. tit. 20-A, § 2951(2). In practice, Maine understood this requirement to permit the payment of tuition-assistance funds to religious schools only if those schools did not provide “sectarian,” or religious, instruction. *See Carson as next friend of O.C. v. Makin*, 979 F.3d 21, 38 (CA1 2020).

The District Court held that the sectarian exclusion does not violate the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment. *See Carson v. Makin*, 401 F. Supp. 3d 207 (D. Me. 2019). The First Circuit affirmed. *See Carson, supra*, 979 F.3d at 21. This Court granted certiorari on the question whether a state violates the Religion Clauses or Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution by prohibiting students participating in an otherwise generally available student-aid program from choosing to use their aid to attend schools that provide religious, or ‘sectarian,’ instruction. 141 S. Ct. 2883 (July 2, 2021) (No. 20-1088).

Virginia, joined by a group of eight other states and the District of Columbia, submitted an amicus brief contending that Maine’s sectarian exclusion is consistent

with the Free Exercise Clause, and that “[s]tates have a strong interest in maintaining the ‘play in the joints’ between the Establishment and Free Exercise Clauses that govern their respective funding choices for religious schools that use the funds for religious purposes.” *See* Virginia Amicus Br. 1.

Following the change in Administration on January 15, 2022, the Attorney General has reconsidered Virginia’s position in this case. The purpose of this letter is to notify the Court that Virginia no longer adheres to the arguments contained in its previously filed brief. Virginia is now of the view that Maine’s sectarian exclusion discriminates against religious schools in violation of the Free Exercise Clause.

Virginia now urges this Court to reverse the First Circuit. *First*, the sectarian exclusion discriminates against religious schools on the basis of their religious status, as the history of the exclusion makes clear.<sup>1</sup> *See Bagley v. Raymond Sch. Dep’t*, 728 A.2d 127, 131 (Me. 1999); Me. Op. Att’y Gen. No. 80-2 (Jan. 7, 1980), 1980 WL 119258. Because the exclusion therefore is not neutral toward religion, it is subject to strict scrutiny. *Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah*, 508 U.S. 520, 546 (1993).

Maine’s proposed distinction between impermissible discrimination on the basis of religious *status* and permissible discrimination on the basis of the religious *use* of funds is illusory and finds no support in the text of the First Amendment. The Free Exercise Clause “protects not just the right to *be* a religious person, holding beliefs inwardly and secretly; it also protects the right to *act* on those beliefs outwardly and publicly.” *Espinoza v. Montana Dep’t of Revenue*, 140 S. Ct. 2246, 2276 (2020) (Gorsuch, J., concurring). “[W]hether [the exclusion] is better described as discriminating against religious status or use makes no difference: It is a violation of the right to free exercise either way, unless the State can show its law serves some compelling and narrowly tailored governmental interest.” *Ibid*; *see also Trinity Lutheran Church of Columbia, Inc. v. Comer*, 137 S. Ct. 2012, 2026 (2017) (Gorsuch, J., concurring in part) (“I don’t see why it should matter whether we describe that benefit, say, as closed to Lutherans (status) or closed to people who do Lutheran things (use). It is free exercise either way.”).

*Second*, the sectarian exclusion does not advance a compelling interest. *Lukumi*, 508 U.S. at 546. Any interest Maine may once have asserted in complying with the

---

<sup>1</sup> The Maine Legislature enacted the sectarian exclusion in response to a 1981 Maine Attorney General opinion that determined, under the test this Court articulated in *Lemon v. Kurtzman*, 403 U.S. 602 (1971), that religious schools should be excluded from the tuition assistance program, *see Bagley*, 728 A.2d at 131. Of course, “[t]he long-discredited test set forth in *Lemon v. Kurtzman* . . . is not good law,” as this Court’s more recent Establishment Clause opinions indicate. *Am. Legion v. Am. Humanist Ass’n*, 139 S. Ct. 2067, 2097–98 (2019) (Thomas, J., concurring in the judgment).

Establishment Clause is no longer compelling after this Court’s decisions holding that government aid which “makes its way to religious schools only as a result of” the choices of individual parents and students—as is true in Maine—does not violate the Establishment Clause. *Espinoza*, 140 S. Ct. at 2254; *see also Zelman v. Simmons-Harris*, 536 U.S. 639, 653 (2002) (“[W]e have never found a program of true private choice to offend the Establishment Clause.”). “Private decisions to attend religious schools are not government decisions, and equal treatment of religious schools by government is not ‘establishment’ of religion . . . . The government and its programs must be disinterested, not hostile or suspicious, when it comes to the religiously inspired conduct and choices of its citizens.” Nicole Stelle Garnett & Richard W. Garnett, *School Choice, the First Amendment, and Social Justice*, 4 *Tex. Rev. L. & Pol.* 301, 335 (2000).

Finally, the sectarian exclusion is not narrowly tailored. Numerous other States maintain school voucher or scholarship programs similar to Maine’s, but do not exclude religious schools from those programs. *See ibid.*; Arkansas Amicus Br. 19–23. Clearly, those States have found ways to accommodate their compelling interests in providing for the education of children and the safeguarding of public funds without burdening the free exercise of religion.

“Religious education is vital to many faiths practiced in the United States.” *Our Lady of Guadalupe Sch. v. Morrissey-Berru*, 140 S. Ct. 2049, 2064 (2020). It is Virginia’s position that the Free Exercise Clause protects religious schools, and religious citizens, from the discriminatory treatment represented by Maine’s sectarian exclusion. Because the exclusion discriminates against religion, is not narrowly tailored, and does not serve a compelling interest, it violates the Free Exercise Clause.

I would appreciate it if you would circulate this letter to the Members of the Court.

Sincerely,

*/s/ Andrew N. Ferguson*

Andrew N. Ferguson  
Solicitor General of Virginia

CC: See attached service list.

20-1088

**SERVICE LIST:**

Michael Eugene Bindas  
Institute for Justice  
600 University Street  
Suite 1730  
Seattle, WA 98101  
mbindas@ij.org  
*Counsel for David Carson, et al.*

Sarah Ann Forster  
Office of the Attorney General  
6 State House Station  
Augusta, ME 04333  
sarah.forster@maine.gov  
*Counsel for A. Pender Makin*

Elizabeth B. Prelogar  
Solicitor General  
United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530-0001  
SupremeCtBriefs@USDOJ.gov  
*Counsel for the United States*

**BY EMAIL:**

Geoffrey Thomas Blackwell  
American Atheists, Inc.  
1201 S. Courthouse Rd.  
#425  
Arlington, VA 22204  
legal@atheists.org  
*Counsel for American Atheists, Inc.*

David Boies  
Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP  
Boies Schiller Flexner LLP  
55 Hudson Yards  
New York, NY 10001  
dboies@bsflp.com  
*Counsel for Defense of Freedom Institute for Policy Studies, Inc.*

Nicholas Jacob Bronni  
Solicitor General of Arkansas  
Arkansas Attorney General's Office  
323 Center St., Suite 200  
Little Rock, AR 72201  
nicholas.bronni@arkansasag.gov  
*Counsel for Arkansas, et al.*

Michael Alan Brown  
Nelson Mullins Riley and Scarborough LLP  
100 S. Charles Street  
Suite 1600  
Baltimore, MD 21201  
mike.brown@nelsonmullins.com  
*Counsel for Education and Constitutional Law Scholars*

Arthur Joseph Burke  
Davis Polk & Wardell LLP  
450 Lexington Ave  
New York, NY 10017  
arthur.burke@davispolk.com  
*Counsel for The Southern Christian Leadership Conference - Memphis Chapter, et al.*

John J. Bursch  
Alliance Defending Freedom  
440 First Street NW  
Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20001  
jlbursch@adflegal.org  
*Counsel for Jewish Coalition of Religious Liberty*

Anthony Thomas Caso  
Constitutional Counsel Group  
174 W. Lincoln Ave., #620  
Anaheim, CA 92805  
atcaso@ccg1776.com  
*Counsel for Claremont Institute's Center for Constitutional Jurisprudence*

Frederick W. Claybrook Jr.  
Claybrook, LLC  
700 Sixth St., NW, Ste.430  
Washington, DC 20001  
rick@claybrooklaw.com

*Counsel for Concerned Women for America, et al. and National Legal Foundation,  
et al.*

Kimberlee Wood Colby  
Christian Legal Society  
8001 Braddock Rd., Suite 302  
Springfield, VA 22151  
kcolby@clsnet.org

*Counsel for Christian Legal Society, et al.*

Cynthia Fleming Crawford  
Cause of Action Institute  
1310 N. Courthouse Road  
7th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22201  
cynthia.crawford@causeofaction.org

*Counsel for Americans for Prosperity Foundation*

Joshua Daniel Davey  
Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP  
301 S. College Street  
34th Floor  
Charlotte, NC 28202  
jdavey@mcguirewoods.com

*Counsel for Members of the United States Senate*

Dominic Emil Draye  
Greenberg Traurig LLP  
2101 L Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20037  
drayed@gtlaw.com

*Counsel for Professor Ashley R. Berner*

John Allen Eidsmoe  
Foundation for Moral Law  
One Dexter Avenue  
Montgomery, AL 36104  
eidsmoeja@juno.com

*Counsel for Foundation for Moral Law*

Patrick C. Elliott  
Freedom From Religion Foundation  
P.O. Box 750  
Madison, WI 53701  
pellott@ffrf.org

*Counsel for The Freedom From Religion Foundation and Center for Inquiry*

Michael Crow Gilleran  
FisherBroyles, LLP  
470 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, MA 02210  
michael.gilleran@fisherbroyles.com

*Counsel for Pioneer Institute*

Richard Shawn Gunnarson  
Kirton McConkie  
Key Bank Tower  
36 South State Street, Suite 1900  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
sgunnarson@kmclaw.com

*Counsel for The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, et al.*

Sarah Michelle Harris  
Williams & Connolly LLP  
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005  
sharris@wc.com

*Counsel for Professor Charles L. Glenn*

Eric Robert Herlan  
Drummond Woodsum  
84 Marginal Way, Suite 600  
Portland, ME 04101-2480  
erherlan@dwmlaw.com

*Counsel for Maine School Management Association, et al.*

Leslie Davis Hiner  
EdChoice  
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2650  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
leslie@edchoice.org

*Counsel for EdChoice and Maine Policy Institute*

James L. Hirsen  
505 S. Villa Real Drive  
Suite 101  
Anaheim Hills, CA 92807  
james@jameshirsen.com  
*Counsel for World Faith Foundation and Institute for Faith and Family*

Allyson Ho  
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP  
2100 McKinney Avenue  
Suite 1100  
Dallas, TX 75201  
aho@gibsondunn.com  
*Counsel for Innovative Schools*

Kirk Christopher Jenkins  
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center  
10th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4024  
kirk.jenkins@arnoldporter.com  
*Counsel for Advancement Project, Journey for Justice Alliance, Alliance for Educational Justice, Gsa Network*

Mitchell Keiter  
Keiter Appellate Law  
The Beverly Hills Law Building,  
424 South Beverly Drive  
Beverly Hills, CA 90212  
mitchell.keiter@gmail.com  
*Counsel for Freedom X*

James Patrick Kelly III  
Solidarity Ctr./Law & Justice  
3740 Davinci Court  
Suite 375  
Peachtree Corners, GA 30092  
jamespkellyiii@gmail.com  
*Counsel for Georgia Goal Scholarship Program, Inc.*



Nathan Lewin  
Lewin & Lewin, LLP  
888 17th St. NW, 4th Floor  
Washington, DC 20006  
nat@lewinlewin.com

*Counsel for National Jewish Commission on Law and Public Affairs (COLPA)*

Jonathan Philip Lienhard  
Holtzman Vogel Baran Torchinsky Josefiak PLLC  
15405 John Marshall Hwy  
Haymarket, VA 20169  
jlienhard@holtzmanvogel.com

*Counsel for The Stanley M. Herzog Charitable Foundation*

Alexander J. Luchenitser  
Americans United for Separation of Church and State  
1310 L Street NW  
Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20005  
luchenitser@au.org

*Counsel for Religious and Civil-Rights Organizations (Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.)*

Michael Hugh McGinley  
Dechert LLP  
1900 K Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
michael.mcginley@dechert.com

*Counsel for Council of Islamic Schools in North America, et al.*

Russell Charles Menyhart  
Taft Stettinius & Hollister  
One Indiana Square. Ste 3500  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
rmenyhart@taftlaw.com

*Counsel for EdChoice and Maine Policy Institute*

Francisco Maria Negrón Jr.  
National School Boards Association  
1680 Duke St., FL 2  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
fnegrón@nsba.org

*Counsel for National School Boards Association et al.*

L. Martin Nussbaum  
Nussbaum Speir Gleason PLLC  
2 N. Cascade Avenue  
Suite 1430  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903  
martin@nussbaumspeir.com  
*Counsel for Professor Michael W. McConnell*

Larry James Obhof Jr.  
Shumaker, Loop and Kendrick LLP  
2400 Huntington Center  
41 South High Street  
Columbus, OH 43215  
lobhof@shumaker.com  
*Counsel for The Buckeye Institute*

Eric Christopher Rassbach  
The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty  
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20006  
erassbach@becketlaw.org  
*Counsel for The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty*

Ramya Ravindran  
Bredhoff & Kaiser, P.L.L.C.  
805 15th Street, N.W. Suite 1000  
Washington, DC 20005  
rravindran@bredhoff.com  
*Counsel for National Education Association, et al.*

Paul Joseph Ray  
750 17th St. Northwest, Suite 1000  
Washington, DC 20006  
pjr@beaufortstrategies.com  
*Counsel for Hillsdale College*

Gene Clayton Schaerr  
Schaerr | Jaffe  
1717 K Street NW, Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20006  
gschaerr@schaerr-jaffe.com  
*Counsel for Protect the First Foundation*

Jay Alan Sekulow  
American Center for Law and Justice  
201 Maryland Avenue, N.E.  
Washington, DC 20002  
sekulow@aclj.org  
*Counsel for American Center for Law and Justice*

Ilya Shapiro  
Cato Institute  
1000 Massachusetts Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20001  
ishapiro@cato.org  
*Counsel for The Cato Institute*

Matthew Jared Sheehan  
Advancing American Freedom, Inc.  
801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004  
matt@advancingamericanfreedom.com  
*Counsel for Advancing American Freedom*

Richard A. Simpson  
Wiley Rein, LLP  
1776 K Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
rsimpson@wiley.law  
*Counsel for Public Funds Public Schools*

Daniel Robert Suhr  
Liberty Justice Center  
141 W. Jackson St.  
Suite 1065  
Chicago, IL 60604  
dsuhr@libertyjusticecenter.org  
*Counsel for Liberty Justice Center*

Gordon Dwyer Todd  
Sidley Austin LLP  
1501 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 22305  
gtodd@sidley.com  
*Counsel for Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America*

Edward Mark Wenger  
Holtzman Vogel Baran Torchinsky & Josefiak PLLC  
2300 N Street, NW  
Suite 643A  
Washington, DC 20037  
emwenger@HoltzmanVogel.com

*Counsel for Independent Women's Law Center and Independent Women's Forum*